```
NAQQshmP
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
1
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
     -----x
 2
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
 3
                                           20 CR 411 (RA)
                V.
 4
                                                Plea
5
     SHMUEL ABRAHAM
6
                  Defendant
      -----x
 7
                                            New York, N.Y.
 8
                                            October 26, 2023
                                            11:55 a.m.
9
10
     Before:
11
                           HON. RONNIE ABRAMS
                                            District Judge
12
13
                              APPEARANCES
14
     DAMIAN WILLIAMS
          United States Attorney for the
15
          Southern District of New York
     JILAN J. KAMAL
16
          Assistant United States Attorney
17
     NECHELES LAW LLP
          Attorneys for Defendant
18
     GEDALIA M. STERN
     SUSAN R. NECHELES
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court; case called)

DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for the record.

MS. KAMAL: Good morning, your Honor. Jilan Kamal on behalf of the United States.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. STERN: Good morning, your Honor. Gedalia Stern on behalf of Mr. Abraham. I'm here with my colleague Susan Necheles.

THE COURT: Good morning to all of you.

So I understand, Mr. Abraham, that you wish to plead guilty to Count One of a superseding information. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before deciding whether to accept your plea, I'm going to ask you certain questions so that I can be sure that you understand your rights, that you're pleading guilty voluntarily, and because you are guilty and not for some other reason. So it's important you answer my questions honestly and completely. If at any point you don't understand a question or would like to consult with your attorney, just let me know.

Ms. Cavale, could you please place the defendant under oath of.

(Defendant sworn)

THE COURT: You are now under oath, so you should know if you answer any of my questions falsely, you could be charged with a separate crime of perjury. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I understand that you intend to plead guilty to a charge contained in what we call -- yes?

MR. STERN: I don't know if you were going to arraign him on the superseding information.

THE COURT: I was just about to.

-- a charge contained in a superseding information, which is a document containing a formal accusation brought by the government. Have you received a copy of this information?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And have you reviewed it? Have you read it?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Have you discussed it with your attorney?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So under our legal system, before an individual can be charged with a felony offense, the government is obligated to go to a grand jury, which must decide whether there's a probable cause to believe that a crime was committed and that you committed it. That decision may result in what's called an indictment. I want to make sure that you understand that by allowing the government to charge you by way of

information instead of indictment, you're giving up your right to force the government to go to a grand jury to get an indictment to charge you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I have a copy of a waiver of indictment form here, which indicates that the above-named defendant, you, who is accused of violating Title 18 United States Code, Section 371, being advised of the nature of the charge and of his rights, hereby waives in open court prosecution by indictment and consents that the proceeding may be by information instead of indictment.

Did you sign this form?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And were any threats or promises made to you, other than what's in the plea agreement, to get you to waive indictment?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: I find that Mr. Abraham has knowingly and voluntarily waived his right to be charged by a grand jury, and I authorize the filing of the information.

I don't think I've asked competency questions yet, so I'm going to do them now. What I am next going to do is ask you questions to ensure that you're competent to plead guilty. These are questions that I ask of every defendant in this situation.

1	How old are you?
2	THE DEFENDANT: 27.
3	THE COURT: How far did you go in school?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yeshiva education.
5	THE COURT: Are you currently or have you recently
6	been under the care of a medical professional, psychiatrist or
7	mental health care provider?
8	THE DEFENDANT: No.
9	THE COURT: Have you ever been hospitalized for mental
10	illness, alcoholism, or drug addiction?
11	THE DEFENDANT: No.
12	THE COURT: In the past 24 hours, have you taken any
13	drugs, medicine or pills, or drunk any alcoholic beverages?
14	THE DEFENDANT: No.
15	THE COURT: Is your mind clear today?
16	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
17	THE COURT: Do you understand what's happening in
18	these proceedings?
19	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Speak loudly and clearly. It can be
21	difficult to hear with the high ceilings.
22	Does either counsel have any doubt as to Mr. Abraham's
23	competence to plead guilty at this time this?
24	MS. KAMAL: No.
25	MR. STERN: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: On the basis of Mr. Abraham's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor here in court, and representations of counsel, I find that he is fully competent to enter an informed plea of guilty at this time.

Have you had enough time and opportunity to discuss your case with your attorney, including the nature of the charge to which you intend to plead guilty, any possible defenses you may have, and the rights that you will be giving up?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And has your attorney discussed with you the consequences of pleading guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: And are you satisfied with his representation of you?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay. So now I am to explain certain constitutional rights that you have. These are rights that you will be giving up if you enter a guilty plea.

So, first, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to plead not guilty to the charge in the superseding information. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you did plead not guilty, you would be entitled under the Constitution to a speedy and public trial by

a jury of those charges. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In advance of trial, if you went to trial, you would have the opportunity to seek suppression of any or all of the evidence against you on the basis that it was obtained in violation of the Constitution. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial, again, if you chose to go to trial, you would be presumed innocent. That means that you would not have to prove that you were innocent. Instead, the government would need to prove your guilt beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. So even if you did nothing or said nothing at trial, you could not be convicted unless a jury of 12 people agreed unanimously that you are guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: During a trial, if you went -- if you chose to go to trial, the witnesses for the prosecution would have to come to court and testify in your presence where you could see them and hear them, and your lawyer could cross-examine them. If you wanted to, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf. You would be able to use the Court's power to compel witnesses to come to court to testify truthfully in your defense even if they didn't want to come.

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial, again, if you went to trial, you would have the right to testify if you wanted to, but you would also have the right not to testify. And if you chose not to testify, that could not be used against you in any way. So no inference or suggestion of guilt could be made from the fact that you chose not to testify. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: At trial, and at every stage of your case, you'd be entitled to be represented by an attorney. And if you could not afford an attorney, one would be appointed at public expense, meaning free of cost, to represent you. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you were convicted at trial, if you chose to go to trial, you would have the right to appeal that verdict to a higher court. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: As I said before, you have the right to plead not guilty. So even as you sit here right now for purposes of entering a guilty plea, you could change your mind and choose to go to trial. But if you do plead guilty, and I accept your plea, there will be no trial, and you will be giving up the rights that I just described. Do you understand

that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, all that will remain to be done is for me, for the Court, to impose sentence. I will enter a judgment of guilty and sentence you on that basis after considering whatever submissions I get from you and your lawyer and the government, as well as a presentence report prepared by the probation department. There will be no appeal with respect to whether the government would use the evidence it has against you or with respect to whether you did or did not commit the crime. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: If you plead guilty, you will also have to give up your right not to incriminate yourself, since I'll be asking you questions here in court today in order to satisfy myself that you are guilty. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So I understand that you intend to plead guilty to Count One of the superseding information, which charges you with conspiring to commit wire fraud in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Could the government please state the elements of the offense in question.

MS. KAMAL: Yes, your Honor.

In order to prove the defendant guilty of Count One of the information, which alleges that he committed conspiracy to commit wire fraud in violation of Title 18 United States Code, Section 371, the government would have to prove the following three elements:

First, that two or more people agreed to engage in a scheme to defraud that involved the use of interstate wires.

Second, that the defendant entered that agreement knowing its objective to commit wire fraud and intending to join together with at least one other to achieve that objective, and

Third, that at some point during the existence of the conspiracy, at least one member performed an overt act in furtherance of the agreement's objective.

Wire fraud itself, the object of the conspiracy here has three elements:

First, that there existed a scheme or artifice to defraud or obtain money or property by materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations or promises.

Second, that the defendant knowingly participated in the scheme or artifice to defraud with knowledge of its fraudulent nature and with specific intent to defraud, and

Third, that in executing that scheme, the defendant used or caused the use of interstate wires.

THE COURT: Mr. Abraham, do you understand that if you were to go to trial on this charge, the government would need to prove all of that to a jury before you could be convicted?

Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now I'm going to discuss the maximum penalties for this offense. The maximum means the most that could possibly be imposed. It doesn't necessarily mean it's the sentence you will receive, but you have to understand that by pleading guilty, you're exposing yourself to the possibility of receiving any combination of punishments up to the maximum. With respect to your liberty, the maximum term of imprisonment for this crime is five years in prison. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Any term of imprisonment may be followed by a term of supervised release of up to three years. Supervised release means that if you are sentenced to prison, after you are released from prison, you will be subject to supervision by the probation department. You will be required to obey certain rules. And if you violate those rules, you can be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time even beyond the original sentence. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You should also understand there is no parole in the federal system. So if you are sentenced to prison, you will not be released early on parole, although there is a limited opportunity to earn credit for good behavior. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: In addition to these restrictions on your liberty, the punishment for this crime includes certain financial penalties. The maximum allowable fine is \$250,000, twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense, or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than yourself resulting from the offense. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I am also required to impose a mandatory special assessment or fee of \$100.

In addition, I must order restitution to any persons or entities injured as a result of your criminal conduct. And I can order you to forfeit all property derived from the offense or used to facilitate the offense. Do you understand that these are the maximum penalties for this charge?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is Mr. Abraham now being prosecuted or to the extent you know investigated in another jurisdiction?

MS. KAMAL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: You should also be aware that the

punishments I've just described are ones that may be part of a sentence, but being convicted of a felony may have other consequences. Are you a United States citizen?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: So then you should understand that as a result of your guilty plea, you may lose certain valuable civil rights to the extent that you have them now, like the right to vote, the right to hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, and the right to possess any kind of firearm. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, in imposing sentence, federal judges are required to consider the recommendations of the Federal Sentencing Guidelines. The guidelines are a complicated set of rules for determining an appropriate sentence. Although judges must take into account the guidelines, must consider them, they are no longer mandatory. They used to be, but they are no longer mandatory. But judges must nonetheless consider the guidelines, calculate them properly, and then in the end impose a sentence based on what a judge believes is the appropriate sentence pursuant to certain factors that are set forth in a provision of the law that is 18 United States Code, Section 3553(a), and that could be even higher or lower than the guidelines' recommendation because the guidelines are only recommendations to the Court. Do you understand all of that?

1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
2	THE COURT: Have you discussed the Sentencing
3	Guidelines with your attorney?
4	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
5	THE COURT: Do you understand that they are only
6	recommendations to the Court? They are not binding on me?
7	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
8	THE COURT: Now, I understand that you have entered
9	into a written plea agreement with the government. Is that
10	correct?
11	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
12	THE COURT: So I have a copy of an October 24
13	agreement here that appears to be the original agreement with
14	you and the government, but I'd like to confirm that with you.
15	So my courtroom deputy is going to show that to you. Is that
16	your signature on the last page?
17	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
18	THE COURT: Is this the plea agreement that you signed
19	with the government? Speak into the microphone.
20	THE DEFENDANT: Yes.
21	THE COURT: And before you signed this agreement, did
22	you read it?
23	THE DEFENDANT: I had it read, yes.
24	THE COURT: You had it read to you?
25	THE DEFENDANT: Like the computer read it to me, yes.

MR. STERN: Mr. Abraham's reading of English especially sort of complex or legal documents is not excellent, so he sort of had an app that read it to him, but he reviewed it and I reviewed it with him.

THE COURT: So after this was read to you and you read it with your lawyer, do you have an understanding of what the agreement entails?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Because I understand it is a somewhat lengthy document and contains some technical legal language, but do you feel like you understand all the terms of the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes .

THE COURT: Could the government please summarize the primary terms of the agreement?

MS. KAMAL: Certainly, your Honor. The agreement provides that the defendant would be stipulating to a guidelines range. There are actually two guidelines ranges set forth in the plea agreement. The guidelines range provides that the stipulated guidelines range would be 46 to 57 months. That is before the application of the pending amendments to the Sentencing Guidelines. The agreement also includes a calculation of the stipulated guidelines range that accounts for the amendment to the guidelines, and that guidelines range is 37 to 46 months' imprisonment.

The agreement provides that the defendant is agreeing to forfeit \$62,894 in U.S. currency, and that he is also agreeing to a money judgment of \$1,232,830 as provided in the agreement and in the exhibit attached to it, which is the consent preliminary order of forfeiture. The government agrees that once forfeiture is final, the amount that is being forfeited in U.S. currency will be credited against the amount owed in the money judgment once forfeiture is final in favor of the United States.

The agreement also requires the defendant to waive his rights to challenge any sentence that is within or below the stipulated guidelines range, and he also waives any modification of his sentence pursuant to 3582.

THE COURT: Mr. Abraham, did you follow all of that, everything the government said?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Is that all consistent with your understanding of the agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I am just going to follow up on a few of the terms. So you have agreed to a guidelines range of 46 to 57 months, and that means that neither you nor the government can argue for a different guidelines calculation, although you can seek a sentence in that range, including based on an anticipated amendment to the guidelines. Do you understand

that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: You should also understand that this agreement doesn't bind me in any way. I'm required to make my own independent calculation of the guidelines and then impose a sentence based on what I believe is appropriate in this case.

I am not saying I will come up with a different range, but I just want to make sure that you understand that even if I do, that won't be a basis for you to withdraw your plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now, with respect to the waiver of appeal, do you understand that under this agreement, you're giving up your right to appeal or otherwise challenge your sentence so long as I sentence you to 57 months of imprisonment or less?

If I sentence you to more than 57 months, you have a right to appeal or otherwise challenge your sentence, but if I sentence you to 57 months or less, you can't appeal or otherwise challenge your sentence under this agreement. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: I am not going to go through all the terms of the agreement. I just wanted to highlight a few of them.

Did you willingly sign this plea agreement?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Has anyone threatened, bribed or forced you to sign the plea agreement or to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Other than what's in the plea agreement, has anyone offered you any inducement to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Has anyone made any promise to you as to what your sentence will be?

THE DEFENDANT: No.

THE COURT: Do you understand if anyone attempted to predict what your sentence would be, that prediction could be wrong. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: No one knows what your sentence will be today. I don't know, your lawyer doesn't know, the government doesn't know. That won't be determined until I receive the presentence report, the submissions of the parties, and do my own independent calculation of the guidelines. I just want to make sure that you understand that even if you're disappointed with what your sentence is, that is not a basis for you to withdraw your plea. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Consistent with the plea agreement, I also have a consent preliminary order of forfeiture as to specific property and money judgment. Did you sign this document?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you understand that, among other things in this document, you have agreed to forfeit a sum of money equal to \$1,232,830 in United States currency representing proceeds traceable to the commission of the offense charged in Count One of the information, and all right, title, and interest of the defendant in the following specific property: \$62,894 in United States currency seized on or about -- it's missing a date -- but from premises located at 5 Biret Drive, Airmont, New York. Do you understand that?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Do you consent to this forfeiture order?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now that you have been advised of the charge against you and the possible penalties you face and the rights you're giving up, is it still your intention to plead guilty?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: Now I'm going to ask you the official question with respect to Count One of the superseding information. How do you plead?

THE DEFENDANT: Guilty.

THE COURT: Tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe that you're guilty of this crime.

THE DEFENDANT: Between 2017 and 2019, I agreed with--

THE COURT: Just speak really slowly and just loud and clear so we can hear you. Thank you. So from 2017 to --

THE DEFENDANT: From 2017 to 2019, I agreed with others to deceive Amazon by, among other things, shipping items in larger quantities than what Amazon had ordered. I did this in order to receive more money from Amazon than what they had ordered. I understand that the payments from Amazon came through a bank located within Manhattan. I know what I did was wrong, and I deeply regret my actions.

THE COURT: Are there additional questions the government would like me to ask?

MS. KAMAL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Could you please summarize what the government's evidence would be if you were to go to trial.

MS. KAMAL: Yes, your Honor.

If the government were to proceed to trial, the government would offer evidence consisting of data and communications from more than a dozen seized devices which reflect, among other things, communications between the defendants regarding how to successfully execute the scheme, obtain the greatest amount of money in the shortest period of time from the victim and how to avoid detection.

The government would also offer data, documents and testimony from the victim that would reflect the manipulation of orders, the manipulation of prices, and the manipulation of

quantities of the goods that the defendant and his co-conspirators overshipped to the victim. The government would also offer financial records from third parties that reflected funds coming from the victim into the defendant's accounts which traveled in interstate commerce and in the Southern District of New York.

THE COURT: Does defense counsel agree there is a sufficient factual predicate for the guilty plea?

MR. STERN: We do, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Abraham, because you acknowledge that you are in fact guilty as charged in the superseding information, and because I'm satisfied that you are aware of your rights, including your right to go to trial, and that you are aware of the consequences of your plea, including the sentence which may be imposed, and because I find that you are knowingly and voluntarily pleading guilty, I accept your guilty plea to Count One of the superseding information.

The probation department will interview you in connection with a presentence report that it will prepare.

Does counsel wish to be present for the interview?

MR. STERN: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

If you choose to speak to the probation department,

Mr. Abraham, just make sure everything you say is truthful and
accurate. They will prepare this presentence report that I

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

will review very carefully before sentencing, so I urge you to review it as well. If there are any errors in it, raise it with your attorney so they can raise it with me.

And we have scheduled the sentencing for?

DEPUTY CLERK: No, we have not.

THE COURT: We haven't yet. We are going to do that right now.

DEPUTY CLERK: I have March 14 or March 21.

THE COURT: Actually, the 14th I think is better.

Does March 14 work?

DEPUTY CLERK: March 14 in the morning at 11:00?

MR. STERN: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: The government shall provide the probation office with its factual statement within a week, and defense counsel shall arrange for the defendant to be interviewed by the probation department within the next two weeks.

I am just going to ask counsel to review my individual rules and practices in criminal cases. They are on the court website. Consistent with those rules, defense submissions are due two weeks prior to sentencing, and the government's submission one week prior to sentencing.

Does the government have any objection to continuing the current conditions of bail?

MS. KAMAL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: They will be continued.

```
NAQQshmP
               Are there any other applications at this time?
1
2
               MS. KAMAL: Nothing from the government. Thank you,
3
      your Honor.
 4
               MR. STERN: No, your Honor. Thank you.
5
               THE COURT: Thanks very much.
6
               (Adjourned)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```